

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES IN PARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patcht and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, ILC. 20231

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,609	12/26/2000	Gerald R. Stanley	CRI0033.1	4249
27879 7	590 06/19/2002			
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 2425 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204		.25	CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2816		
		DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/748,609	STANLEY, GERALD R.			
,	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Terry D. Cunningham	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) M they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Attached.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): the indefiniteness rejection	to claims 4 and 5 .			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 6 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Attached</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>30 May 2002</u> is a)☑ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
	•	Terry D. Cunningham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2816			

Application/Control Number: 09/748,609

Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

Continuation of No. 2

Although proposed claims 9-10 will not be entered because there is no corresponding

cancellation of two other claims, it is noted that claim 9 is not seen to have the same scope as

original claim 8. For example, such does not recite the connection of the load to the

"demodulation filter" and such includes the further limitation of the "isolated-integrator

frequency-rejecting network".

With respect to the art rejection, since the "band reject filter" of Chew is connected to the

"low pass filter" and since the "low pass filter" has a "forward signal flow branch", it is clear

that the "band reject filter" is "coupled" (albeit indirectly) to the "forward signal flow branch".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 703-308-4872.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

Note, claims may also be read on Fig. 2 of Chew.

TC

June 18, 2002

Ferry 6. Cunningham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816